





Integrity **★** Service **★** Excellence

### GaN HEMT Reliability: Open Literature & Reported Fail Modes

NEPP - June 2013

Eric Heller / HiREV Team Physicist AFRL/RXAN Air Force Research Laboratory





### Outline



- Motivation
- Reminder: Survey of Pathologies & Accelerants
- Deltas in Physics from Legacy Materials
  - Deltas are "New Doors Opened" in Physics of Failure.
- Main reported fail modes
- Conclusions and Final Thoughts

### For this discussion:

- Open literature and non-proprietary Heller / HiREV mat'l only!
- Radiation effects and package level reliability out of scope.
- NOT a final product with industry buy-in





### Motivation



- *Lifetime assessment* is key to successful transition (especially for DoD)
- Academic community has said, done, and published much on *degradation and failure findings & physics*.

There are both *new* and *enhanced* stressors/drivers in GaN vs. legacy materials.

#### A simplified view of cultural drivers

- Academic:
- Find the **novel**
- Publish the outcome
- Move on

Industry:

- Eliminate/mitigate relevant flaws quickly
- Not publish but retain full qual "recipe"
- Sell product with right balance of

performance to guaranteed lifetime

#### This is a useful natural tension!





### **Reminder - Survey of Open Lit.**



4

Physics of Failure	Stressor	Failure Metric	Life Limiter
<ul> <li>Diffusion</li> <li>Defect Percolation</li> <li>TDDB at Gate</li> <li>Surface barrier</li> <li>oxidation</li> <li>Ohmic intermixing</li> <li>Gate intermixing</li> <li>Gate intermixing</li> <li>Critical elastic E</li> <li>Cracking/pitting</li> <li>Traps*</li> <li>Alloying, melting</li> <li>Dislocations</li> <li>SBH change</li> <li>Interface Relax.</li> <li>Multi-Fail models</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>DC Electrical (I<sub>D</sub>, V<sub>D</sub>, V<sub>G</sub>, V<sub>crit</sub>, "semi-on")</li> <li>DC pulsed</li> <li>RF</li> <li>RF pulsed</li> <li>T<sub>BP</sub> or T<sub>CH</sub></li> <li>Pulsed Temperature</li> <li>UV light</li> <li>Ambient gas</li> <li>Ambient RF</li> <li>Use of proxy parts</li> <li>Starting conditions/ Processing marginality</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>DC electrical or parametric</li> <li>RF electrical</li> <li>Model Guided</li> <li>Transients</li> <li>DLTS or I-DLTS</li> <li>Other</li> <li>(PE/Thermal IR/noise/Raman/ SEM or AFM image judgment)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>•T<sub>CH</sub> "Negative" Ea Low Ea (0.12-0.39) Mid Ea Multiple Ea's, one part</li> <li>•V<sub>crit</sub> = V<sub>D</sub> - V<sub>G</sub></li> <li>•V<sub>G</sub></li> <li>•Hot electrons</li> <li>Which are</li> <li>•Recoverable/not</li> <li>•Gradual/quick</li> <li>•Ambient</li> <li>Dominated</li> <li>•DC-RF similar/not</li> <li>•Unknown</li> </ul>

\* Multi-dimensional space in Physics of Fill, E Depth, Type, Location, Physics of Fail





## 1. Ratio of Power Density (W/mm) to bulk thermal conductivity (W/m/K):

- Example: About 2.5x greater for GaN vs GaAs.
- Concern: Nonlinear effects increase vs. "legacy" power density.
- Resolution: A clear path for modest de-rating exists.







### 2. Power Density (W/mm) and lots of hot carriers:

- Example: About 10x greater W/mm for GaN vs GaAs.
- Concern: Open door for multi-electron, multi-phonon effects, more CHC stress.
- Resolution: With application specific awareness and modern parts, appears manageable.



Marco Silvestri, Michael J. Uren, and Martin Kuball, "Dynamic Transconductance ispersion Characterization of Channel Hot-Carrier Stressed 0.25-µm AlGaN/GaN HEMTs", IEEE ELECTRON DEVICE LETTERS, VOL. 33, NO. 11, NOVEMBER 2012.



- Test/Limit at Q point or max PE point as long as possible at highest Vd. Back down Vd for application.
- Build in robustness to parametric shifts and/or perform burn-in.







### 2. Power Density (W/mm) just by itself:

- Example: About 10x greater for GaN vs GaAs.
- Concern: Faster T transients. GaN heat capacity (J/cm<sup>3</sup>/K) only a little greater.
- But, with application specific awareness, does not look like a problem.



Measure or de-rate to address fast transients.

Self Heating in AlGaN/GaN Power Transistors", 2013 IRPS Session 3C: Compound/Opto Electronics







#### 3. Late Materials & Process Changes This is an evolving materials system!

Example: Diamond substrate for higher power density
 → But, thermal gradients in GaN are proportional to P density, and commonly cited as a defect migration driver

# Example: Strained SiN is a big hit in Si world →Tried in GaN (open lit): likely to add new fail modes →Many other metastable possibilities exist with energetic processes: MOCVD, MBE, implants, etc.

- Fortunately, GaN HEMTs appear robust.
- Beware performance boosting tricks, or the sudden appearance or change in *processing conditions* of overlayer.
- Test/Limit extreme abs(Vd Vg) bias at extremes of ambient temperatures, especially at low T.





### **NDO: Novel physics**



### 4a. GaN is grown on a non-native substrate:

- About 10<sup>9</sup> dislocations cm<sup>-2</sup>
- Concern: Opens doors for low E<sub>a</sub> diffusion, thermal boundary resistance (TBR), coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) mismatch and process stresses.
- Fortunately, mitigation strategies exist, some appear to be nonissues

### 4b. Channel is not dopant created!

- Intrinsic Spontaneous and Piezoelectric Charge.
- Can be boosted by dopant.

#### WILL TAKE THIS ONE AT A TIME...





### NDO: Extra Thermal Boundary Resistance (TBR)



Red line: Equates to 2.5W/m/K bulk thermal conductivity at 250 °C (assuming 100nm thick) URI-1 Extra channel temperature rise TBR x 10 -8(m<sup>2</sup>K/W) CS-2 20% JRI-3 URI-2b **CS-3** 10% TBR measurement accuracy 5% 100 150 200 250 Interface temperature (°C)

> Martin Kuball et al., "Benchmarking of Thermal Boundary Resistance of GaN-SiC Interfaces for AlGaN/GaN HEMTs: US, European and Japanese Suppliers", CS MANTECH Conference, May 17th-20th, 2010, Portland, Oregon, USA



- Increased T scales as power (W/mm). Use same or less as ALT. Use true worst cast R<sub>TH</sub> if boosting power past ALT.
- Beware process changes to thinner GaN or different epi vendor. DISLOCATIONS ARE LIKELY HERE TO STAY!





### **NDO: High Dislocation Density**



11



#### Low E<sub>a</sub> Diffusion?!

"showed thermal activation energies of **~0.26 eV** consistent with diffusion processes along dislocations, with possible additional contributions from bulk diffusion accelerated by converse/inverse piezo-electric strain and leakage currents."

M. Kuball, Milan Tapajna, Richard J.T. Simms, Mustapha Faqir, and Umesh K. Mishra, "AlGaN/GaN HEMT device reliability and degradation evolution: Importance of diffusion processes" Microelectronics Reliability 51 (2011) pp. 195–200.



**GaN laser diode** "thermal Activation Energy has been extrapolated to be equal to **250 meV**"

Nicola Trivellin, Matteo Meneghini, Gaudenzio Meneghesso, Enrico Zanoni, Kenji Orita, Masaaki Yuri, Tsuyoshi Tanaka, and Daisuke Ueda, "Reliability analysis of InGaN Blu-Ray **laser diode**", Microelectronics Reliability 49 (2009) 1236–1239.

Fail mode often sqrt(time) → diffusion blamed again

#### **Dislocations?**

Not in active region but in other places!

- Long term testing. As long as possible. ALT for 10,000 hours has been done.
- Beware process changes increasing dislocation density, adding more oxygen or other impurities.
- Limit Vd and Vg; Select for lowest Ig leakage devices. Expect high dependence on process.





#### Related to high dislocation density... except when its not.



- Expect *repeatable* shifts in some parametrics; Ron, Idss, etc. Large device-device variation; select for best parts.
- Design flexibility for these into circuit. Test low & high T operation extremes and in-use bias sequences/lighting!
- Expect slightly worse trapping behavior post-stress.
- Beware process changes increasing dislocation density or changing epi stack.



# NDO: Substrate Coeff. of Thermal Expansion (CTE) mismatch





At 300 C

Au Gate

GaN

HIREV

Deflections exaggerated 25x



**Mitigation Options :** 

- GaN HEMTs appear robust to normal cycling.
- Test and/or Limit on/off thermal cycling at extremes of storage and use cases. Include power cycling.



Distribution A. Approved for public release, distribution unlimited





- Channel is *not* Dopant Defined:
  - Due to *intrinsic* spontaneous and piezoelectric charge in bulk AIGaN and GaN
  - Arises from change in bulk material properties at interface
  - Good points: No dopant freeze-out, process variation in dopant density, no concerns of dopant passivation, migration of ionized scatterers to channel, etc.
  - Concern: Charge in the channel is very sensitive to AlGaN thickness, mole fraction, mechanical stress, or changes thereof.
  - Resolution: Process control, understand variation, avoid process cliffs. Even a small increase in AlGaN thickness/mole fraction may induce new failure modes!

- Fortunately, largely a non-issue.
- Beware potentially metastable performance boosting tricks (novel stress incorporating layers, etc).
- Exploit within-wafer variation for rel: select for parts with lower AlGaN mole fraction or thickness.
- Test/Limit extreme Vd Vg at extremes of ambient temperatures, especially low T. Select for lowest Ig leakage.







- 5. Wide Bandgap (eV):
  - Example: About 2.5x for GaN vs GaAs.
  - Very hot electron effects, holes carry a lot of energy,
  - Semi-infinite trap lifetimes, especially when cold.
  - Workhorse tool DLTS will not measure the deeper traps at room T.
  - Concern: Deeper traps, and semi-infinite thermal resets.
  - Resolution: Application specific awareness.

- De-rate for Vd. Fortunately technology has a lot a margin to de-rate.
- Yet, high Vd can reset traps & mask an issue! Verify system operates cold and at lowest allowed Vd operation.
- Verify low & high T operation *in the dark*, especially circuit corners in Vt, near Vt device operation, *low Vd* operation, and *low Vd* operation just after the coldest, most extreme *high Vd* at hard pinch-off for in-use.







- 6. High critical breakdown field (V/cm):
  - Example: About 8x greater for GaN vs GaAs.
  - Concerns: Very high E fields, very hot electron effects, *not* accelerated thermally, drift by E field of charged traps, high inverse PZ mech. stresses!
  - Channel noise, Ig noise, and Ig leakage changes.
  - Resolution: GaN is tough! With awareness, may not be an issue.



- Can de-rate for Vd. Technology has a lot a margin to de-rate by. Select for lowest Ig leakage parts.
- High Vd, high Abs(Vd-Vg) can supply energy to fail modes. Test high Abs(Vd-Vg) at low/high T.
- Select lowest Ig parts. Might use low/high Ig as an ALT "stressor", with increase in Ig, Ig noise as fail metric.
- Watch for cratering (esp. on test)! High Vd means that system capacitances can feed energy as Vd^2!
- Not expected to be an issue for RF devices at nominal Q point.





### **Switching Gears**



• We want a well defined Physics of Failure, Stressor(s), Fail Metric(s) (like Si CMOS)

 $\rightarrow$  Well defined "path" to follow for reliable conclusions



Main Open Lit Reported Fail Modes



- 1. Thermal (3T ALT)
  - "The standard model"
  - Recipe: Boost  $T_{bp}$ , maintain everything else as well as possible
  - A known test methodology, works for a known fail mode.
  - Concern: Tests one stressor. T is at fail site, yet even if that is known T still varies 10's of K over a large device.



- Minimize extrapolation to mission life (test as long as possible). Leave parts on test if you can.
- Understand error bars in lifetimes of data points *and Ea*.
- Verify lowest plausible Ea will not be an issue!







- 2. Channel Hot Carrier (CHC) Stress
  - High E field near pinch-off with some electrons
  - Initial (Time=0)  $I_{DSS}$  and CHC effect correlation seen.
  - NOT the highest power point.
  - NOT very thermally accelerated if at all!
  - Knowable test methodology.
    - Run as highest Vd near Q point or peak PE point.
  - Concern: Peak stress point is how we want to run an RF device!

- Minimize extrapolation to mission life (test as long as possible)
- Test at highest mission Vd at or near Q point and/or at or near highest PE point.
- Keep track of Idss, select for application accordingly.



Main Open Lit Reported Fail Modes



- 3. "Critical Field" Failure
  - Past the critical Abs(Vd-Vg), instantaneous
  - Near the critical Abs(Vd-Vg), minutes/hours
  - High Abs(Vd-Vg) via extreme negative Vg IS NOT the same as high Abs(Vd-Vg) via extreme positive Vd at deep pinch-off.
  - Recipe: Apply high Abs(Vd-Vg); Vd at extreme positive values at deep pinch-off.
  - The good: Quick, easy test methodology. Easy to build test channels. Don't have to consider thermal issues much at test.

- Not usually an issue; biases usually far from RF HEMT application conditions.
- Test for hours. Test at both lowest and highest mission T.
- Watch Ig, changes in Ig and photoemission (if possible) and Ig noise as a prelude to failure.
- Correlated to Ig leakage at time = 0; select for lowest Ig, low Ig noise parts.
- Might use low-high Ig time = 0 parts as an alternate "stressor"





### Further thoughts: Traps and Transients!



### Lots of considerations

- Intrinsic, very process dependent, light sensitive, *usually* resettable
- Transient Recovery after high Vd, high power RF or pinch-off point.
   "Current slump", "gate lag", "virtual gate", R<sub>ON</sub> and V<sub>TH</sub> affected, etc.
- More problematic in general at low T, and not the highest power point.
- Can get worse with stress or end of life (usually not much)
- Can trigger a "failed part" decision. Typically 10% I<sub>dss</sub> or 1dB
- Recipe: watch transient after a "pulse" of some sort.
- Fortunately, non-destructive test methodologies exist.
- Concern: Horrible to model\*, can't characterize them directly.

\*function of density, location, energy depth, several traps cited in same place at same time, can be resettable or not, etc.

- Test at both lowest mission T, right after "worst transients"\*. Think of highest T too.
- \*How long does the part deviate from acceptable operation after a high Vd, high power RF or pinch-off point?
- Select for least affected parts after "worst transients" and build in margin for these transient issues.
- Back down "trap setting" events as much as possible, and consider future bias states post trap setting events.
- Can be reset by light, T and hot electrons; have a UV GaN LED, dummy heater, or hot electron "panic" option?





### Further thoughts: "Grooves", "Cracks" and "Pits"!



- Causes attributed to
  - Thermal
  - Nonthermal High power generated
  - Nonthermal High electric field generated
- Instant (high Inv. PZ mechanical) or gradual. S and/or D side of G
- Much debate on if this is a fail mode or a nuisance!  $R_{\rm ON}$  and  $ID_{\rm MAX}$  appear most affected.



S.Y. Park, "Physical degradation of GaN HEMT

devices under high drain bias reliability testing",

Jungwoo Joh, Jesús A. del Alamo, "Impact of gate placement on RF power degradation in GaN high electron mobility transistors", Microelectronics Reliability 52 (2012) 33– 38.





### Why are we not done?



#### BIN 1. MATERIALS/PROCESS IMMATURITY

• Large variation in degradation rate of nominally "identical" parts.

- A "fog" that cuts across industry.
- $\rightarrow$  Rapidly getting better!
- Much larger variation across processes!
  - Secrecy/Proprietary limits sharing

Limited distributions of new parts Process details, origin of parts often unknown

- "Cutting edge" conclusions drawn from old or marginal parts!

 $\rightarrow$  HiREV University Foundry run.

If we had the luxury of starting from scratch...

- Use modern parts
- Minimize sharing restrictions for academic research
- Verify findings with multiple vendors







### Why are we not done?



#### BIN 2. TEST AND FAILURE ANALYSIS IMMATURITY

#### Large variation in test protocols

- R<sub>th</sub>: IR thermal, micro-Raman, modeling
- Random tested population or cherry-picked?
- Each data source explores a *subset* of stressor par space.
- $\rightarrow$  HiREV role as independent tester facilitating uniform testing
- $\rightarrow$  HiREV working full statistical understanding of problem

• Failure Analysis is mostly "find once and report", not protocol development

- Very few "findings" use a closed loop approach (pre-post stress)
- Little said on how the "found" defect is known to be the "real" defect!

#### ightarrow HiREV working to cross-correlate FA findings and close the loop

If we had the luxury of starting from scratch...

- Compare/set test protocols early
- Compare/set Failure Analysis protocols early
- Fully document for full reproducibility!





### Why are we not done?



#### BIN 3. THE UNDERLYING PHYSICS HAS CHANGED

• Very large peak E fields, temperatures, thermal gradients.

- Can make "nonstandard" drivers relevant.

- Complex interplays cited in literature (i. e. drifting charged point traps).
- -Can require coupled mechanical/thermal/electrical physics.

- Awareness of this complexity is now critical!

- Adequacy of existing test channels and test methodology?
- $\rightarrow$  HiREV working fundamental science and tool assessment
- ightarrow HiREV working full understanding of the "stressor space"
- Traps, traps, traps
  - Nearly impossible to directly measure, yet a genuine issue.
  - Easy to cite, hard to quantify: density, location(s), species, conditions.
  - High dislocation density, probably here to stay
  - Wide bandgap: means traps have microseconds to many days lifetime.
  - $\rightarrow$  This will require closure. Verification/Validation Critical.
  - → HiREV working to *directly* quantify traps *under the gate (expt. & model)*





- Many Deltas in Physics from Legacy Materials
  - Most relate to fact that GaN can be pushed harder than prior materials.
  - Some are intrinsic to the new materials system.
- Understand your application!
- Literature has found a few main mechanisms.
  - Classic thermal "3T ALT" wear-out. The one you were warned about!
  - Channel Hot Carrier (CHC) stress
  - High Voltage "critical field" failures.
- Traps can be thought of as a fourth failure mode but many characteristics differ from other modes.
- Last, discussion of why we don't have firm fail models in place & how to address these concerns.





### SUPPLEMENTAL





Distribution A. Approved for public release, distribution unlimited



# Example: HiREV Thermal Characterization





#### IR Thermography

- Quick look at heating uniformity
- Good for part-part variation
- Not good for
- absolute
- temperatures
- •~3-5 μm spatial resolution



#### <u>µRaman</u>

- Accurate point thermometry
- 1 µm spatial resolution
- Mapping possible
- Measures GaN or SiC temperature only; optical access limitations



#### **Thermoreflectance**

- Transient measurement with 50ns resolution
- Submicron spatial resolution
- Full device imaging
- Surface localized



#### Electro-Thermal Modeling

- Thermal Transients
- Best spatial resolution
- Full device to package
- Buried not an issue
- Only as good as input
- data  $\rightarrow$  lots of



### Example: HiREV Fail Analysis (FA) Characterization





Distribution A. Approved for public release, distribution unlimited



### Example: HiREV GaN HEMT Modeling





#### **Electro-Thermal Physics**

- Full device to package
- A Critical Link:

Measurable data (electrical, etc.)  $\rightarrow$  Root Causes (E, T, T<sub>e</sub>, traps, etc.)

- Sensitivity analyses: Understand key unknowns (bulk, interlayers, processing)
- Validation is Critical!



30







Others: Radiation, UV light, Environmental (gas, RF power, ESD, ...), physically relevant stress sequences, etc.



31

Distribution A. Approved for public release, distribution unlimited



### Survey of "Pathologies"





### **Survey of Accelerants**



