



Commercial Sensor Survey Status Report

Heidi N. Becker
James W. Alexander
Dennis O. Thorbourn
Edward H. Konefat

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

JPL Publication 08-8 2/08



Commercial Sensor Survey Status Report

NASA Electronic Parts and Packaging (NEPP) Program
Office of Safety and Mission Assurance

Heidi N. Becker
James W. Alexander
Dennis O. Thorbourn
Edward H. Konefat

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

NASA WBS: 939904.01.11.30
JPL Project Number: 102197
Task Number: 3.21.4

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
4800 Oak Grove Drive
Pasadena, CA 91109

<http://nepp.nasa.gov>

This research was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, and was sponsored by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Electronic Parts and Packaging (NEPP) Program.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not constitute or imply its endorsement by the United States Government or the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology.

Copyright 2008. California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.

Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction and Task Objective.....	1
2.0	Survey Guidelines for Candidate Sensors.....	1
3.0	Sensor Selection for 2007	2
3.1	Micron.....	2
3.1.1	MT9P031 (5 Mpixel, 1/2.5 inch, 2.2 μm) – selected for FY07	2
3.1.2	MT9T031 (3.1 Mpixel, 1/2 inch, 3.2 μm) – <i>selected for FY07</i>	3
3.1.3	Micron Evaluation Kit	3
3.2	OmniVision.....	4
3.2.1	OV3630 (3.2 Mpixel, 1/3.2 inch, 2.2 μm) – <i>selected for FY07</i>	4
3.3	Cypress.....	4
3.4	Additional Surveyed CMOS Manufacturers.....	4
3.4.1	Kodak	4
3.4.2	Sony	5
3.4.3	Dalsa	5
4.0	References.....	5

1.0 Introduction and Task Objective

The NEPP FY07 Sensor Technology Commercial Sensor Survey task is geared toward benefiting future NASA space missions with low-cost, short-duty-cycle, visible imaging needs. Such applications could include imaging for educational outreach purposes or short surveys of spacecraft, planetary, or lunar surfaces. Under the task, inexpensive commercial grade sensors (e.g., CMOS-based “camera on a chip” systems) have been surveyed and selected for ionizing dose and displacement damage tolerance testing. Only inexpensive, commercial imagers with potential for use in low-duty-cycle space exploration applications have been considered; *the task does not address high-cost science grade imagers or hardened technologies*. The selected sensors had to meet selection criteria geared toward supporting small, low-mass cameras that produce good resolution color images. This document discusses the selection process.

2.0 Survey Guidelines for Candidate Sensors

The survey for candidate test sensors focused primarily on low-cost (from a few tens of dollars to a few hundred dollars per sensor), low-power, commercial CMOS sensor products, such as those used in cell phones, webcams, or consumer-grade digital still cameras. Charge coupled devices (CCDs) are typically at least an order of magnitude higher in cost than their CMOS counterparts, and they also require more complicated support circuitry to evaluate. Therefore, we did not put emphasis on CCDs in FY07. Cameras for outreach programs or low-cost surveys should be relatively inexpensive to both build and host on a spacecraft and, ideally, they should be small in size. Limitations on telemetry bandwidths, power, mass, and requirements on spacecraft real estate are key considerations that helped to guide the choice of which sensors to target.

Small bandwidths suggest that large arrays with as many as 14 Megapixels (Mpixel) may not be practical for the applications we are considering. In fact, many space missions have successfully used 0.5- to 2-Mpixel monochromatic CCD cameras with filter wheels to provide excellent images. However, filter wheels add expense and also significant mass, so the choice of color detectors with 1 to 5 Mpixel (which can provide similar color resolution) presents a reasonable compromise.

Optics are an additional camera cost driver, so it is important to keep them small when designing an inexpensive camera. Common small detector optical formats are 1/4, 1/3, 1/2.5, and 1/2 inch. For a fixed set of optics, decreasing the pixel size can increase resolution, but it also decreases the amount of signal charge that an individual pixel can collect, which reduces dynamic range. Note that the photosensitive active area of pixels, the portion of which is described as “fill factor,” is further reduced in CMOS sensors due to the presence of circuitry within the individual pixels. This problem is mitigated in some sensor designs by the use of microlenses. Microlenses are small lenses that are placed directly on top of the pixels to focus light into the photosensitive region of the pixel.

Our trades led us to consider pixels widths between ~2 and 8 microns (μm), array sizes of ~1 to 6 Mpixel, color arrays, and 1/4- to 1/2-inch optical formats. Candidates also had to be available as packaged parts, not bare die.

The major criteria for selection were:

- (1) Low cost.
- (2) Sensor format, geared toward suitability for the applications mentioned above.
- (3) **Relative ease of evaluation** (with the goal to minimize test development costs):
A manufacturer-endorsed evaluation kit had to be available for the sensor line, and the kit was required to have the potential to easily adapt to the logistics of incremental dose testing on multiple samples. Our requirements also included the ability of the evaluation kit to produce RAW format images and provide direct control over a few select parameters, such as integration time.

3.0 Sensor Selection for 2007

The baseline approach to selecting sensors for FY07 testing was to gather information on available products from established companies in the commercial sensor industry and then make a down select based on the above criteria. This strategy was not entirely feasible, as we experienced general difficulty in acquiring product technical information from commercial CMOS companies and their distributors without making a commitment to buy and/or sign non-disclosure agreements (NDAs). For some sensor manufacturers, a non-disclosure agreement would have been required to receive even basic technical data sheets.

We adapted our strategy and procured limited quantities of promising sensors and evaluation kits while pursuing additional technical information in parallel. The low cost of the products we were investigating allowed us to gain technical information following actual procurement, with samples of the sensors and kits in-hand. This updated survey report discusses the selected 2007 test candidates and other sensors we investigated.

3.1 Micron

Micron produces several CMOS sensors with VGA (video graphics array: 640×480) to multi-Mpixel resolution. They are a major producer of CMOS sensors, having acquired Photobit's CMOS active pixel sensor technology in 2001. We selected the following Micron test candidates for NEPP:

3.1.1 MT9P031 (5 Mpixel, 1/2.5 inch, 2.2 μm) – selected for FY07

The MT9P031 is a 5-Mpixel, 1/2.5-inch optical format, CMOS sensor with a 2592(H)×1944(V) color pixel array that employs an RGB Bayer pattern color filter. The pixel size is 2.2 μm ×2.2 μm . This product is marketed for applications which include high-resolution network cameras, wide field of view cameras, and hybrid video cameras with high resolution stills. Among its features are a 12-bit, on-chip analog-to-digital converter;

381 mW power consumption while imaging at full resolution and 14 frames-per-second; and low dark current and read noise [1].

3.1.2 MT9T031 (3.1 Mpixel, 1/2 inch, 3.2 μm) – selected for FY07

The MT9T031 is a 3.1-Mpixel, 1/2-inch CMOS sensor with a 2048(H) \times 1536(V) pixel array and RGB Bayer pattern color filter. The pixel size is 3.2 μm \times 3.2 μm . This sensor has a 10-bit, on-chip analog-to-digital converter; 228 mW power consumption while imaging at full resolution and 12 frames-per-second; and low dark current and read noise. This sensor is also marketed for wide field of view cameras, video cameras, and high resolution stills [2].

3.1.3 Micron Evaluation Kit

Evaluation of both of these sensors is supported by Micron’s Demo2 Evaluation Hardware Kit and accompanying DevWare software. The kit allows characterization of all CMOS sensors within Micron’s 3- and 5-Mpixel lines by use of interchangeable camera headboards that are customized for each sensor product. We have purchased both full evaluation cameras (see Fig. 1) and spare headboards for the MT9P031 and MT9T031. The headboards for each of our Micron candidates will be modified to include a test sample socket. This headboard modification will allow many sensor samples to be evaluated using the same headboard electronics. As purchased, each headboard comes with a permanently attached sensor sample for customer development use.




Fig. 1. Micron CMOS sensor evaluation camera.

3.2 OmniVision

OmniVision is another major manufacturer of low-cost CMOS detectors, but it requires an NDA before supplying product data sheets to potential customers. Based on publicly available product briefs, we identified promising 3- and 5-Mpixel RGB sensors with $2.2\mu\text{m}\times 2.2\mu\text{m}$ pixels and 1/4 to 1/2.5 optical formats. These sensors produce RAW output and have power consumption on the order of 120 mW [3],[4].

3.2.1 OV3630 (3.2 Mpixel, 1/3.2 inch, 2.2 μm) – selected for FY07

Following procurement of an evaluation kit for OmniVision's 5.17-Mpixel, $2.2\mu\text{m}\times 2.2\mu\text{m}$ pixel, 1/2.5-inch OV5620 sensor, we found that data would only be accessible to us in a 3-Mpixel format, implying that some form of pixel data binning was being performed. In order to allow full access of individual pixel data and to maintain an OmniVision candidate for 2007, we decided to select the OV3630, 3.2-Mpixel, $2.2\mu\text{m}\times 2.2\mu\text{m}$ pixel, 1/3.2-inch sensor for testing. This sensor has an on-chip, 10-bit ADC and operates in both video and snapshot mode. The design of the OV3630 evaluation kit allows test samples to be irradiated without removing them from the camera headboards. Test samples were procured as individual evaluation kits.

3.3 Cypress

Cypress recently procured FillFactory, a producer of CMOS sensor products for digital photography, machine vision, and space applications. Their space application CMOS sensors include the monochrome STAR and High Accuracy Sensor (HAS) lines; these products were outside of the price constraints of our survey, and considerable radiation characterization has already been performed (or is in process) by other investigators. We are considering detectors from Cypress's IBIS line as potential candidates in the future. For example, the 1280(H) \times 1024(V), 1.3-Mpixel IBIS5-B-1300 has a 2/3-inch optical format and $6.7\mu\text{m}\times 6.7\mu\text{m}$ pixels [5]. The IBIS4-A-6600 is a 1-inch optical format sensor with a 2210(H) \times 3002(V), 6.6-Mpixel array and $3.5\mu\text{m}\times 3.5\mu\text{m}$ pixels [6]. Both detectors have 10-bit ADCs, and power consumption is on the order of 200 mW. Cypress supports evaluation kits for their sensors which give complete control over sensor operation. These sensor products range in price from \$200 to \$450 each, which is at the upper cost end of detectors under consideration. Because of the mid-range cost and larger size, these sensors were not selected in FY07, but they might be investigated in future years for NEPP.

3.4 Additional Surveyed CMOS Manufacturers

3.4.1 Kodak

Kodak is a leading manufacturer of CMOS sensor technology and has previously supported development kits which would have been very effective in evaluating some of the older Kodak products that meet our selection criteria. Unfortunately, during product inquiries with Kodak, we were told that they have recently shifted their focus to target the

automotive and cell phone industries and, as a result, they will not continue to offer CMOS sensors above VGA resolution at the present time.

3.4.2 Sony

Sony produces a line of CMOS sensors, which are used in some of their camera products. One of their more recent CMOS sensors is the 1/1.8-inch, $2.5\mu\text{m}\times 2.5\mu\text{m}$ pixel, 6.4-Mpixel, IMX017CQE [7]. While their CMOS sensors were not currently available for purchase as piece parts in the United States, sensors such as the IMX017CQE would be suitable candidates for testing if purchase in the United States were to become feasible in the future.

3.4.3 Dalsa

Dalsa is another leader in the digital imaging industry. Unfortunately, Dalsa does not market their Mpixel CMOS products as individual sensors. They are only available as integrated parts of Dalsa's camera products. Modification of these cameras for sensor radiation testing and evaluation was not practical within the scope of this task.

4.0 References

- [1] "MT9P031 1/2.5-inch, 5-Mp CMOS Image Sensor," Limited Data Sheet, © 2006 Micron Technology, Inc., http://download.micron.com/pdf/product_brief/MT9P031_5100_PB.pdf, 13 July, 2007.
- [2] "MT9T031 1/2-inch, 3-Mp CMOS Image Sensor," Limited Data Sheet, © 2006 Micron Technology, Inc., http://download.micron.com/pdf/product_brief/MT9T031_3100_PB.pdf, 13 July, 2007.
- [3] "OV5620 5.17 MPixel product brief," OmniVision, <http://www.ovt.com/data/parts/pdf/Brief5620V4.2.pdf>, 13 July, 2007.
- [4] "OV3630 3.2 MPixel product brief," OmniVision, <http://www.ovt.com/data/parts/pdf/Brief3630V2.1.pdf>, 13 July, 2007.
- [5] "IBIS5-B-1300 CY115FM1300AB 1.3MP CMOS Image Sensor," Document #: 38-05710 Rev. *A, © 2006 Cypress Semiconductor Corporation, Revised January 11, 2007, <http://www.cypress.com/products/?gid=12>, 13 July, 2007.
- [6] "IBIS4-A-6600 CY114SM6600AB 6.6 MP CMOS Image Sensor," Document Number: 001-02366 Rev. *D, © 2006 Cypress Semiconductor Corporation, Revised January 2, 2007, <http://www.cypress.com/products/?gid=12>, 13 July, 2007.
- [7] "Diagonal 9.10 mm (Type 1/1.8) 6.4M-Effective Pixel High-Speed High-Resolution CMOS Sensor for Consumer Products with Seamless Support for Both Still Image and Moving Image Capture," Sony New Product, http://www.sony.net/Products/SC-HP/cx_news/vol47/pdf/imx017cqe.pdf, 13 July, 2007.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

*Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188*

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 30/09/2007	2. REPORT TYPE JPL Publication	3. DATES COVERED (From - To) N/A
--	--	--

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Commercial Sensor Survey Status Report	5a. CONTRACT NUMBER NAS7-03001
	5b. GRANT NUMBER
	5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER

6. AUTHOR(S) Heidi N. Becker James W. Alexander Dennis O. Thorbourn Edward H. Konefat	5d. PROJECT NUMBER 102197
	5e. TASK NUMBER 3.21.4
	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91009	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER JPL Publication 08-8
--	---

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC 20546-0001	10. SPONSORING/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) NASA/NEPP
	11. SPONSORING/MONITORING REPORT NUMBER

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
Unclassified—Unlimited

Subject Category 35 Instrumentation and Photography, 93 Space Radiation

Availability: NASA CASI (301) 621-0390 Distribution: Nonstandard

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

14. ABSTRACT
The NEPP FY07 Sensor Technology Commercial Sensor Survey task is geared toward benefiting future NASA space missions with low-cost, short duty-cycle, visible imaging needs. Such applications could include imaging for educational outreach purposes or short surveys of spacecraft, planetary or lunar surfaces. Under the task, inexpensive commercial grade sensors (e.g., CMOS-based "camera on a chip" systems) have been surveyed and selected for ionizing dose and displacement damage tolerance testing. Only inexpensive, commercial imagers with potential for use in low-duty-cycle space exploration applications have been considered; the task does not address high-cost science grade imagers or hardened technologies. The selected sensors had to meet selection criteria geared toward supporting small, low mass cameras that produce good resolution color images. This document discusses the selection process.

15. SUBJECT TERMS
sensors, space radiation, cameras

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 10	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON STI Help Desk at help@sti.nasa.gov
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) (301) 621-0390

NASA Supplementary Instructions To Complete SF 298 (Rev. 8-98 version)

NASA uses this inter-governmental form that does not allow customization. Look for special notes (NOTE) if NASA's procedures differ slightly from other agencies.

- Block 1 NOTE: NASA uses month and year (February 2003) on the covers and title pages of its documents. However, this OMB form is coded for block 1 to accept data in the following format: day, month, and year (ex.: day (23), month (02), year (2003) or 23-02-2003, which means February 23, 2003. For this block, use the actual date of publication (on the cover and title page) and add 01 for the day. Example is March 2003 on the cover and title page, and 01-03-03 for block 1.
- Block 2: Technical Paper, Technical Memorandum, etc.
- Block 3: Optional for NASA
- Block 4: Insert title and subtitle (if applicable)
- Block 5a: Complete if have the information
- b: Complete if have the information
- c: Optional for NASA
- d: Optional for NASA; if have a cooperative agreement number, insert it here
- e: Optional for NASA
- f: Required. Use funding number (WU, RTOP, or UPN)
- Block 6: Complete (ex.: Smith, John J. and Brown, William R.)
- Block 7: NASA Center (ex.: NASA Langley Research Center)
City, State, Zip code (ex.: Hampton, Virginia 23681-2199)
You can also enter contractor's or grantee's organization name here, below your NASA center, if they are the performing organization for your center
- Block 8: Center tracking number (ex.: L-17689)
- Block 9: National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Washington, DC 20546-0001
- Block 10: NASA
- Block 11: ex.: NASA/TM-2003-123456
- Block 12: ex.:
Unclassified – Unlimited
Subject Category <http://www.sti.nasa.gov/subjcat.pdf>
Availability: NASA CASI (301) 621-0390
Distribution: (Standard or Nonstandard)
If restricted/limited, also put restriction/limitation on cover and title page
- Block 13: (ex.: Smith and Brown, Langley Research Center. An electronic version can be found at [http:// _____](http://_____), etc.)
- Block 14: Self-explanatory
- Block 15: Use terms from the NASA Thesaurus <http://www.sti.nasa.gov/thesfrm1.htm>,
Subject Division and Categories Fact Sheet <http://www.sti.nasa.gov/subjcat.pdf>,
or Machine-Aided Indexing tool <http://www.sti.nasa.gov/nasaonly/webmai/>
- Block 16a,b,c: Complete all three
- Block 17: UU (unclassified/unlimited) or SAR (same as report)
- Block 18: Self-explanatory
- Block 19a: STI Help Desk at email: help@sti.nasa.gov
- Block 19b: STI Help Desk at: (301) 621-0390