Single Event Effect (SEE) Test Planning 101

Kenneth A. LaBel, Jonathan A. Pellish
NASA/GSFC
Melanie D. Berg
MEI Technologies, NASA/GSFC

Unclassified

Outline

- **Introductory Comments**
  - **Scope of course**
- **Requirements**
  - **Flight Projects**
  - **Research**
  - **Programmatic constraints**
- **Device Considerations**
  - **A word on data collection**
- **Test Set Considerations**
- **Facility Considerations**
- **Logistics**
- **Contingency Planning**
- **Test Plan Outline**
- **Summary**

Presented by Kenneth A. LaBel, Jonathan A. Pellish, and Melanie D. Berg at the Conference on Radiation Effects on Components and Systems (RADECS), Sevilla (Seville), Spain, September 19, 2011, and published on nepp.nasa.gov and radhome.gsfc.nasa.gov

Introduction

- This is a course on SEE Test Plan development
- It is NOT
  - How to test or testing methodology
  - A detailed discussion of technology
  - New material on new effects
- It is
  - An introductory discussion of the items that go into planning an SEE test that should complement the SEE test methodology used
- Material will only cover heavy ion SEE testing and not proton, LASER, or other though many of the discussed items may be applicable.

Course Abstract

- While standards and guidelines for how-to perform single event effects (SEE) testing have existed almost since the first cyclotron testing, guidance on the development of SEE test plans has not been as easy to find.
- In this section of the short course, we attempt to rectify this lack.
- We consider the approach outlined here as a "living" document:
  - mission specific constraints and new technology related issues always need to be taken into account.
- We note that we will use the term "test planning" in the context of those items being included in a test plan.

Requirements – Dual and Competing Nature(s)

- **Programmatic**
  - Cost
  - Schedule
  - Personnel
  - Availability
  - Criticality
  - RISK!
- **Technical**
  - Device
  - Packaging
  - Beam/facility
  - Application
  - Data Capture

Dual Nature 2: Flight Project versus Research

How we plan and prepare for a test will also vary with this trade space

All tests are driven by requirements and objectives in one manner or another

Flight Project Requirements

- When planning a test for a flight project, considerations may include:
  - Acceptance criteria
    - Error or fail rate (System or Device)
      - System availability may be appropriate, as well
    - Minimum device hardness level
      - Linear Energy Transfer threshold (LETth), for example
    - Error definition and application information
  - User application(s)
    - Circuit
      - We note that "test as you fly" is recommended
    - Criticality
  - Programmatic constraints
- The bottom line is that flight project tests are usually application specific and designed to get a specific answer such as:
  - Is the SEL threshold higher than X? or
  - Will I see an effect more than once every 10 days?

Research Requirements

- These are less specific than requirements for flight projects and may include
  - Generic technology/device hardness
  - Application range
  - Angular exploration
  - Frequency exploration
  - Beam characteristics such as ion/energy/range effects
  - Error propagation, charge sharing, etc…
  - Programmatic constraints
- The bottom line is that all requirements and objectives should be "in plan", i.e., considered prior to test and included in test plan development.

Resource Estimation

- Many factors will weigh in to actual resource (re: cost and schedule) considerations including:
  - Complexity of device/test and preparation thereof
  - Facility availability (and time allotment)
  - Urgency of test
  - Funds availability, and so forth
- We usually try to "pre-plan" facility access approximately three months prior to a test date and refine the list as flight project exigencies, test readiness levels, etc are evaluated.
  - At NASA, flight projects receive priority in planning
- Schedules should be developed and included that include all phases of testing from requirements definition to completed report.

Cost Estimation Factors

- Labor
  - Principal investigator/team lead
  - Test engineers/technicians
    - Electrical, mechanical, VHDL, software, cabling, etc.
  - Test performance (pay attention to overtime needs)
  - Data Analysis
  - Report and plan writing
- Non-recurring engineering costs
    - Board fabrication and population
    - Device thinning/delidding
    - Cables, connectors, miscellaneous
    - Test equipment purchase/rental
- Facility Costs
  - Note that estimating the amount of beam time required is non-trivial: modes of operation, ions, temperature, power, etc. all factor into the test matrix and need to be prioritized
- Travel
- Shipping

Device Constraints

- Devices under test (DUTs) can range from very simple transistors to the most complex systems on a chip (SOC)
  - This range implies test set implementations can vary just as widely
- At the top level, the following are the key items to begin planning with:
  - Datasheet and
  - Application requirements (mission specific or range for "generic" research)
- We note that implementing a test set hinges greatly on the DUT type and requirements, however, detailed discussion of this is out of scope for this talk.
  - Certain key features will be delineated later

DUT Parameter Space

- DUT parameter space may include multiple items found on datasheets:
  - Electrical performance
    - Frequency, timing, load, drive, fanout, IO, …
  - Application capability/ operating modes
    - Processing, configuration, utilization…
  - Power
  - Environmental characteristics, and so on
- Mission specific testing will limit the space as part of the requirements
  - Research tests must consider the overall application space of the DUT and determine priorities for configuration of tests
- We note that device sample size is also considered and may be limited due to resource or other constraints.
  - Good statistical methods are still recommended
  - Lot qualification issues should be considered
- Key features, device markings, etc. should be included

Predicting DUT SEE Categories

- An analysis of the types of SEE the device might observe during irradiation is required.
  - This may be called a error/failure mode analysis
  - Predicted type and even frequency of SEEs will drive the data capture requirements discussed later as will error propagation/visibility
- An analysis should include
  - Upset (single, multiple, transient, functional interrupts, etc..) and destructive issues, as well as,
  - Mission specific objectives (Ex., application requirements or destructive test only)
- Looking at existing data on similar device types and technologies may help in this process

DUT Data Capture - Sample SEU Capture Signatures

- Upsets can be as simple as a short glitch/transient in an output or an incorrect output state
- Upsets can be complex:
  - Bursts: streaming upsets that are time limited (i.e. occur from time τ_n to τ_{n+k})
    - Burst vs uncorrectable error?
  - One particle strike may cause an oscillation between known good and bad values (metastable)
- Difficulties
  - Differentiate between a single event versus accumulation:
    - Multiple effects may occur from one particle strike
    - Multiple effects may occur from an accumulation of particle strikes
  - Differentiate between hard errors and soft errors
    - Is it bus contention?
    - Is it a micro-latch? Or…

Test Set Requirements

- Test set requirements are a set of derived requirements from the mission/DUT/facility requirements
  - Example: requirement for a test in vacuum may be different than one in air
- Knowing how a DUT performs is one thing, but defining requirements for a test system is clearly separate
  - Test set requirements should encompass actual application range or have sufficient flexibility such that modifications can be made on site easily
- Mission Requirements generally have ranges of operation.
  - The test set should accommodate this range in areas such as:
    - Min, max, and typical (speed, temperature, voltage)
    - Vary inputs
    - Note the difference between static tests and dynamic tests
    - Output loading
- We note that a test plan should provide full details, schematics, figures, photos, etc. of test method/set

Test Set Considerations

- Test Set Development challenges
  - Visibility of upsets may be restricted with complex devices
  - Testing the expected state of the device may be impossible
- Test Set considerations
  - May be necessary to separate tests for various portions of the device
    - Example: FPGA (configuration, data paths, and SEFIs)
  - Understand and note test restrictions when determining SEU cross sections and error rates
  - Be aware of the separation of tester, user equipment, and DUT during testing.
- Boards for DUTs: roll your own or ???
  - DUT mounting can be performed by: wiring, soldering, or socketing
    - Wiring will only work for slow devices with minimal I/O count
    - Soldering onto a board will increase the range of angular testing and improved speed/noise performance
    - Socketing provides flexibility: if DUT dies, another can easily replace it
  - Potential signal integrity issues must be considered (ground bounce, transmission line effects, etc…)

Data Requirements

- Data requirements may be broken into two categories
  - Data capture, and,
  - Data analysis
- Data capture, in this context, is not how you capture the data, but the requirements/items that should be considered for capture
- Data analysis is the other end of the picture: everything from the system-wide flow of the data, what format it is being captured in, and what are the requirements for analyzing this data (real-time and post-testing, as well as planning how this should be implemented.
- We suggest treating radiation data much like a spacecraft treats science data: a telemetry and command system
  - Utilize as many reliable design practices as possible to have confidence in the results

Data Capture

- Multiple facets are included in data capture including
  - Data volume and storage
    - Maximum error capture rates should be planned as well in order ensure the TBD system can keep up
  - Resolution of measurements
    - This includes "housekeeping" data as well at the "scientific" information
      - Timetagging
      - Supply currents
      - Temperature
      - Beam/facility run information,
      - Accumulated dose, and so on…
  - We note that capture criteria per beam run may hinge upon beam "stop" criteria
    - X number of errors
    - Beam fluence
    - Current limit
    - Anomaly
    - Other

Data Capture – Reliable!

- Some suggested implied requirements for reliable data capture
  - Must abide by datasheet requirements (timing diagrams, DUT output drive, etc…)
  - Might require the capability to observe short duration upsets
  - Should readily capture random errors
  - Should be able to determine changes in current
  - Should be able to keep up with the upset rate by:
    - Storing upset data locally (fastest method – but can be restricted by amount of storage)
    - Bandwidth limitations of communications links
    - Some mix of the above two options – alleviates the storage and bandwidth issues
- Flexibility to adapt to unexpected "events"

Data Analysis

- The early definition of the data/command flow and structure is key to performing a successful test
  - Developing an end-to-end data/command flow diagram, and,
  - Defining data and command packet structure at each point along the path
    - Headers (run number, etc…)
    - Word formats and length
    - Insertion of housekeeping information
- Note: Geographical (DUT layout) and temporal information often aid determining root cause of error



END-to-END Data/Command Flow

Processing the Data

- Every plan should include a discussion of how the data will be processed whether it's for
  - Full width half max (FWHM) for transients,
  - Physical mapping of errors and multiple bit events, or
  - Any of the myriad of data events in between.
- Requirements for what needs to be viewed/processed real-time in order to make informed decisions at the site as well as what should be done as part of post-processing should be clearly delineated.

Facility Issue - Device Preparation



M. R. Shaneyfelt, et al., *SEE Symposium*, 2011.

- **If only everything was hermetic!**
- **Ion's range of penetration is short compared to packaging materials**
  - **Cannot use protons for everything**
- **What is the package style and die material?**
  - **Are there heat sinks?**
- **Methods: mechanical, chemical, and electromagnetic (ablation lasers)**





Open a can

Acid etch/de-pot plastic encapsulated microcircuits

Facility Considerations – Angles and Ion Choice



http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Spherical_coordinate_system

Heavy Ion Facility Comparison



J. A. Pellish, et al., *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.*, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 2948-2954, Oct. 2010.

- **What's the sensitive area(s) geometry and are there any hardening techniques (design and/or process) employed?**
- **Is ion range or d*E*/d*x* (ionization/length) more important?**

Facility Considerations – Dosimetry

- SiGe HBT transistor under microbeam irradiation at Sandia National Laboratories
- 36 MeV oxygen
  - Surface LET = 5.3 MeV-cm^2/mg
- 60 scans in total
  - Early = first 12 scans
  - Late = last 12 scans
- Note the large diffusion component



Early



Late

- Dose/damage from heavy ions can be a significant factor
- Is my device susceptible to this?

SEE Test Planning 101, Seville, SP – LaBel, Pellish, Berg Sep 19 2011

23

Facility Considerations – Dosimetry



J.-M. Lauenstein, Ph.D. Dissertation, U. Maryland, 2011.

Dose type and bias effects on power MOSFET V_{th}



Early



Late

- Dose/damage from heavy ions can be a significant factor
- Is my device susceptible to this?

SEE Test Planning 101, Seville, SP – LaBel, Pellish, Berg Sep 19 2011

24

Facility Considerations – Beam Profile and Purity

1.26 GeV ^{84}Kr Primary Beam



SRIM-2008.4

Degraded Proton Energy Distributions 14.6 and 63 MeV primaries



B. D. Sierawski, *et al.*, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.*, vol. 56, no. 6, pp. 3085-3092.

- **What is the beam's emittance (space and momentum)?**
- **Where are the sensitive areas on my device under test?**
- **How big are the sensitive areas?**
- **Am I sensitive to destructive effects?**

Facility Considerations – Beam Profile and Purity

Low-Energy Proton Scattering



J. A. Pellish, *et al.*, *SEE Symposium*, 2011.

ESA SEU Monitor



R. H. Sørensen, *et al.*, *Proc. RADECS*, 2005.

- **What is the beam's emittance (space and momentum)?**
- **Where are the sensitive areas on my device under test?**
- **How big are the sensitive areas?**
- **Am I sensitive to destructive effects?**

Facility Considerations – Setup and Cabling

Texas A&M Cyclotron Facility



http://cyclotron.tamu.edu/ref/pics/3d_new_reline.png

NASA Space Radiation Lab



http://www.bnl.gov/medical/NASA/CAD/NSRL_Facility_and_Target_Room.asp

- **Is there a staging area?**
- **How large is the data collection/user room?**
- **What kind of cables/feedthroughs are present?**
- **How long is the cable run? (signal bandwidth, voltage droop, etc.)**

Facility Considerations – Setup and Cabling

Avoid the dreaded <u>CABLE CADAVER</u>

Configuration Management (CM)

- The rule here is simple: know and document what you have, what you are using, and how you are using it. This ranges from cabling all the way to coding!
  - CM defines which version you have and making sure you bring the tools to modify if needed
    - Ex., which VHDL code is final one for either the test set or DUT (if applicable)?
    - Each team member is responsible for CM
- Data backup is related
  - Make sure you have a plan for storage of multiple copies of the data, who is responsible, and what happens for post-processing

Logistics

- While non-technical, logistics related to test planning and writing a test plan are no less important
- Areas for consideration in no particular order:
  - Test team member contact info (cell phones, hotels, flights, etc…)
  - Facility contact information including maps for newbies
  - Contact information for key people at home site
  - Equipment list including spares
    - Don't forget datasheets!
  - Shipping/transport of equipment (cost, tracking, …)
  - Roles and responsibilities of the team

Contingency

- Contingency is required for several reasons:
  - Test set does not work
  - Test set does not work as well as expected
  - Error signatures are different than anticipated
  - Facility may have an "issue" such as the beam goes down
- A good plan will include:
  - Prioritization of tests planned (which devices, which tests)
  - Limits on debug time to make a decision to test, move to a later test timeslot, or ???
    - Example: if after 1.5 hours no significant progress is noted, go to backup device
  - Backup devices (in case test ends early or other device/test doesn't work properly)

SEE Test Plan Outline - Summary

- Introduction and objectives
- Detailed Device Information
- Documentation
  - Block diagrams, circuit diagrams, cabling diagrams, datasheets, etc…
  - Photos of device and test set
- Equipment list
  - Packing and shipping information (detailed)
- Test Methodology and Data Capture
  - Including Data Storage Structure
- Configuration management
  - Data backup and distribution plan
- Personnel and Logistics
- Data Analysis Plan
- Contingency Plan

Summary

- This section of the short course was designed to provide the user the basic thought processes required to develop a successful test plan
  - Technical issues,
  - Logistics issues, and,
  - Programmatic issues.
- Further details are found in the full notes accompanying this presentation.