2022 NEPP ETW



Plastic Encapsulated Microcircuit (PEM) Glass Transition Temperature (T_G) Study

Jason Heidecker

Jet Propulsion Laboratory / California Institute of Technology

Pasadena, CA

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not constitute or imply its endorsement by the United States Government or the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology.

Government Sponsorship is acknowledged.

Background





The epoxies used to encapsulate PEMs transition from rigid to rubbery when $T > T_G$, which, in theory, could lead to wire bond fatigue failure due to CTE mismatches if the transition occurs frequently. But how much stress (number of temperature cycles) is needed for this occur?





Background



- For several years, JPL has been limiting the maximum temperature during the temperature cycling screening step (20 cycles from -55C to +125C) for COTS PEMS to T<T_G in order to avoid overstressing flight parts (CTE mismatch and temp cycling leading to wire bond failure).
- Limiting the temperatures during electrical test and burn-in was not part of the process as the number of glass/rubber transitions is limited to just a few cycles for these steps.

Test	CLASS							
Step	1	2+	2	3	TEST DESCRIPTION	TEST METHOD	CONDITION	COMMENTS
1	х	Х	x	Х	Serialization			para. 4.2
2		1/			Pre-Screen Tests	-		para. 4.0
3	Х	X	X	X	External Visual	2009	T<	T _G
4	х	х	x	x	Temperature Cycling	1010	Condition B (-55°C to +12	5°C) 20 cycles. para. 5.3
5	x	x	x	x	Pre-burn-in electrical testing		25°C, end point temperat as specified in Detail Specification	ures para. 5.2
6	х	x	x	x	Burn-in, 240 hours minimum Burn-in, 160 hours minimum	1015, Cond. D.	125°C ambient	para. 5.4
7	х	x	x	x	Final electrical test		(see Detail Specification end-point temps)	ures para. 5.2 for
8	Х	X	X	X	Delta calculations			para. 5.5
9	Х	X	X	X	PDA calculation			para. 5.6
10	Х	X	X	X	External visual	2009		
11	Х	X	X	X	Stabilization Bake			para. 5.7

Background



- Limiting the temperatures during high-temperature operating life (HTOL) and extended temperature cycling (100-200 cycles) was also not limited in order to demonstrate the robustness of the packaging when going beyond T_G.
- More than 30 lots (100%) passed the qual testing with no failures, which included 22-45pc undergoing 100-200 temp cycles (-55C to +125C) followed by 1000-hr/125C HTOL.

Test		CLASS			TEST DESCRIPTION	MIL-STD-	CONDITION	SAMPLE	COMMENTS
Step	1	2+	2	3	METHOD METHOD		CONDITION	SIZE 1/	
1	Х	X	Х		Pre-conditioning	-			para. 6.2
2	x	x	x		Temperature cycling	1010	Condition B -55°C to +125°C) Class 1: 500 cycles Class 2/2+: 200 cycles		para. 6.3
3	x	x	x		Post temp cycle electricals	-	25°C, end-point temperatures (see Detail Specification for end-point temps)	Class 1: 45(0)	para. 6.4.1
4	x	x	x		High Temperature Operating Life (HTOL)	1005	Cond. D 125°C ambient emp	or 22(0) 2/	para. 6.4
5	x	x	x		Post-168-hour Interim electrical tests (168 hours total HTOL)	-	25°C	Class 2+ or Class 2: 22(0) w/ 1000-hr life	para. 6.4.1
6	x	x	x		Post-504-hour Interim electrical tests (504 hours total HTOL)	-	25°C	test	para. 6.4.1
7	x	x	x		Final electrical testing	-	25°C, end-point temperatures (see Detail Specification for end-point temps)		para. 6.4.1
8	Х	X	Х		External visual	2009			
9	X	X	x		DPA	-		Per Detail Specification	para. 6.6
All lot qualification samples (45pc or 22pc) will undergo the testing in steps 1 thru 8.									

TABLE 2. Lot Qualification Requirements

2/ The life test may be 45pc or 22pc. For 45pc, the duration is 1,000 hours. For 22pc, the duration is 2,000 hours.





- The practice of limiting temperatures screening has led to confusion with test vendors and even led to scrapping of lots leading to costly delays for JPL projects.
- The goal of this task is to provide additional evidence that limiting temperatures during screening to a value less than T_G is not needed in order to make the screening process simpler and avoid confusion in the future.

- **Goal:** Measure wire bond integrity with respect to temperature cycling. Wire bond integrity is determined by curve tracing the pins.
- Temperature cycling per MIL-STD-883 TM 1010, Cond. C, -65C to +150C
- Sample Size: 5pc of 5 different part numbers.
- Other Testing:
 - DSC to verify T_G of epoxy encapsulant. (Based on previous testing, all expected to be between 75C and 150C.)
 - XRF or EDS to identify wire bond and pad materials in case failures can be correlated to wire bonding technology.

P/N	Manuf.	Description	T _G (°C)	Package	No.	Quantity
					Pins	
SS41	Honeywell	Hall effect sensor	75	flat TO-92	3	5
AD8007AKS-R2	ADI	Op amp	TBD	5-lead SC70	5	5
IL711S-3E	NVE	Digital isolator	112	SOIC-8	8	5
LT3845MPFE	Linear Tech	Step-down controller	118	TSSOP-16	16	5
MT29F2G08AACWP	Micron	NAND Flash	TBD	TSOP-48	48	5





Test Plan

Preliminary Results



P/N	100	300	500	
	Cycles	Cycles	Cycles	
SS41	No change	No change	In progress	
AD8007AKS-R2	No change	No change	In progress	
IL711S-3E	No change	No change	In progress	
LT3845MPFE	No change	No change	In progress	
MT29F2G08AACWP	No change	No change	In progress	





Conclusion / Follow-on Work



- The test results suggest that excursions beyond T_G is not detrimental to the parts for up to at least 300 temperature cycles.
- Due to budget and schedule constraints in FY22, the following limitations resulted:
 - 1. Unable to test more than 5 part numbers
 - 2. Unable to test to failure, which would support Weibull analysis and more accurate assessment of wire bond reliability
 - 3. Unable to performed XRF/EDS testing of wire bonds/pads prior to starting temperature cycling; therefore, parts tested blindly.
- Although these five part numbers support the original hypothesis that reasonable temperature excursions beyond TG are not stressful to the part, having data for all representative packages and wire bond technology would be useful.